UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/536,621	05/26/2005	Masahiko Nakamori	UNIU40.005APC	9275
	7590 08/20/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST	REET	MACARTHUR, SYLVIA		
FOURTEENTH IRVINE, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/536,621	NAKAMORI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Sylvia R. MacArthur	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with an appearance. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	t mujan ta tha data of filing a bujaf	ill mat be entered be	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	. ,,	mpliant Amondment (I	OTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (r	-10L-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the lonowing rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,7,8 and 10-21.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	∍d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
August 12, 2008	/Sylvia R MacArthur/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1792	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: It is noted that claim 8 was inadvertantently omitted from the prior art of rejections (paragraphs 9 and 10) to Takahashi et al (JP 11-07517) and Hasegawa Toru (JP 2002-324770) as the claim is interpreted as a matter of an intended use as it depends upon the size of the material to be polished (substrate) Recall, that the inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims. See In re Young, 75 F. 2d 966, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935). Furthermore, if applicant believes that that the novelty or non-obviousness of the present invention is do to the pad having a lesser diameter than the workpiece this is conventional and known as evidenced by Halley US 6,361,647 (wafer 10, pad 140) and Jones et al US 6,497,784 (pad 24, wafer 10 having layers 11 and 12). Applicant must provide a showing (not a mere statement) of criticality of the recited range of 1/4 to 1/2 as recited in claim 8.

Also the Terminal Disclaimer has been received by the Office on 8/1/2008, but has not been formally reviewed. This should be completed by the examiner's next communication with applicant.